Interview Summary

Application No. 09/889,251

Applicant(s)

. Naviaux

Examiner

Phyllis G. Spivack

Art Unit

1614



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) Phyllis G. Spivack	(3)
(2) Lisa A. Haile, Ph.D.	(4)
Date of Interview Jul 16, 2003	-
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes	2) □ applicant's representative} e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 1-6, 8-27, and 66	
Identification of prior art discussed: Naviaux et al., Presentation at the "Mitochondrial Dysfunction in Human Pathology", meeting (1998); von Borstel, U.S. Patent 6,472,378	
Agreement with respect to the claims $f)$ X was reached. g y was not reached. y	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:	
•	ntially to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 102(a) rejection of record that would further distinguish the present claims from that of
the vonBorstel document were discussed. Other informal or editorial issues in the claims were pointed out by the Examiner.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ	rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP	OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
	MULIS SPINACE CK PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTO-413 (Rev. 03-98)

Interview Summary

Examiner's signature, if required